

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_98653
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF WASTE PLASTICS AS BUILDING MATERIALS- A NEW STEP IN SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes it is important, especially that it is more on sustainability. 2. The title must be specific, Include in the title the type of building material. 3. Lack of Information for preview. The abstract has no outcome or results of the research conducted. 4. Include a brief discussion of the results. 5. Yes, with minor revision 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, avoid redundant words in the paragraph.	
Optional/General comments	For the Objectives, it must be measurable. For the conclusion, kindly provide evidence or proof why did you arrived with that conclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo B. Leron Jr
Department, University & Country	University of the East, Philippines