

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_98762
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC SOURCE OF NUTRIENTS ON SOIL PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES, GROWTH AND YIELD OF CABBAGE (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Upto some extent, Treatment Four Obifert is not clear what exactly it is ? any commercial formulation of organic manure give some detail of it. Yes, it is OK Instead of heading form write it in single paragraph. Yes,It is Yes. However, Scientific name is not written in Italics. References are sufficient, but pattern of writing references are not appropriate. [21] reported seems odd. So follow Journals guidelines. Table headings are bold somewhere and at some places kept as such.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs minor corrections. Yes it is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Reference quoted in results and discussion should must contain name of authors e.g. Results were reported by xyz. Follow Journals guideline to write references in entire manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajeev Kumar
Department, University & Country	Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India