

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_98660
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between family dynamics and psychosocial supports on glycaemic controls of children with diabetes mellitus in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Considering the importance of adolescents and diabetes control and prevention, this study can be useful. It can also provide a solution for managers and health planners. - In the title of the study, add the type of study and write news. - The type of cohort being added as - The working method in the abstract should be presented in more detail and show the method of conducting the study - Check the study sources, some references are not exactly related to the text, check again. - The introduction is written very briefly and should show the necessity of the study and the reason for doing this study - You should also check the studies that have been done in this field so far and say what results they had that were not enough and you have carried out your own study. - Applications and practical objectives of the study should be written at the end of the introduction. - The method of sampling should be written in the work method - The method of data collection should be written in complete detail - What questionnaire was used by the researcher should be valid and reliable that you did not explain 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It should be re-examined after the reforms	
Optional/General comments	The entire article should be examined, especially the work method and discussion section, which should be compared with other studies and written by the author's justifications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Darabi
Department, University & Country	Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Iran