

# Effect of sowing date and variety on pigeonpea production in Nepal

## ABSTRACT

Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) cultivation is gaining popularity in Nepal from terai to hilly regions. An unsuitable sowing time and selection of inappropriate variety were the main yield limiting factors in its cultivation. Therefore, the experiment on sowing time and variety was conducted in two consecutive years, 2020/21 and 2021/22 at the Grain Legumes Research Program, Khajura, Banke. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with five sowing dates viz., 2<sup>nd</sup> July, 17<sup>th</sup> July, 1<sup>st</sup> August, 16<sup>th</sup> August and 31<sup>st</sup> August as the main-factor, and two promising varieties viz., ICPL-88039 and MA-6 as the sub-factor, consisted of three replications. Both the sowing time and variety influenced all the tested parameters of pigeonpea at one percent significance level. Pigeonpea produced the highest seed yield (1482 kg/ha) while seeded on 2<sup>nd</sup> July (*Ashad 18*). Moreover, the pigeonpea sown on 2<sup>nd</sup> July produced 14, 31, 60 and 72% more seed yield than sown on 17<sup>th</sup> July, 1<sup>st</sup> August, 16<sup>th</sup> August and 31<sup>st</sup> August, respectively. Similarly, a promising variety of pigeonpea MA-6 (1028 kg/ha) produced more seed yield when compared to the variety ICPL-88039 (888 kg/ha). Hence, there is a great potential for increasing the productivity of pigeonpea by adopting an appropriate time of seeding with suitable variety.

**Keywords:** Pigeonpea; sowing time; variety; yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) is a hardy and wide adopted drought tolerant crop of the South Asia, a most important crop in the context of climate change. It is grown about 6.4 million ha in the world with a production of 5.5 million t, but the South Asia contributes an about 83 and 86% of the total world area and its production, respectively [1]. Growing of pigeonpea is not only an economical benefits for harvesting the grains, but has tremendous important for improving marginal soils by shedding its large amount of its leaves and fixing atmospheric nitrogen by nodulation.

Pigeonpea is the most important legume crop in Nepal, where it is grown an about 16591 ha with the production and productivity of 16649 t and 1.0 t/ha, respectively [2]. It is mostly grown in upland or marginal lands under the rainfed condition in both Terai and Hilly regions of the country. The data over the 15 years indicates the increasing trend in its cultivated area, but the productivity seemed very low and stagnant at less than 1.0 t/ha [3]. There are several constraints like drought, unavailability of suitable variety, inappropriate time of sowing, poor plant population, etc. for the lower productivity of pigeonpea [4] and [5]. The study by [6], [7] and [8] also reported the severe yield reduction of pigeonpea due to the inappropriate sowing time and variety. An appropriate sowing time and selection of suitable varieties are the most important factors for improving the productivity of any crops, mostly in legume crops [9].

Consequently, it is important to study the effect of sowing times and varieties on the seed yield and yield attributing parameters of pigeonpea. Thus, the study was conducted with the objective for improving the pigeonpea yield by identifying the suitable time of sowing with best suited variety.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at agricultural farm of Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Grain Legumes Research Program (GLRP), Khajura, Banke, Nepal situated at 28° 06' 45' N latitude, 81° 35'

Comment [D1]: Provide the probability values

Comment [D2]: Provide the probability values

Comment [D3]: Typing error kindly check

Comment [D4]: Provide a clear conclusion based on the purpose of the study.

58' E longitude and 182 meters above Mean Sea Level in two consecutive years, 2020/21 (Year I) and 2021/22 (Year II). It was laid out in a split-plot design involving five sowing dates viz., D<sub>1</sub>: 2<sup>nd</sup> July, D<sub>2</sub>: 17<sup>th</sup> July, D<sub>3</sub>: 1<sup>st</sup> August, D<sub>4</sub>: 16<sup>th</sup> August and D<sub>5</sub>: 31<sup>st</sup> August as the main-factor, and two promising varieties viz., V<sub>1</sub>: ICPL-88039 and V<sub>2</sub>: MA-6 as the sub-factor with ten treatments combinations in three replications. The size of the experiment plot was 9 m<sup>2</sup>, where the crop was sown at a row spacing of 75 cm and 25 cm plant to plant spacing. Fertilizers were applied @ 20:40:20 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O per ha at the time of sowing and other cultural practices were applied as per needed. Harvesting was done manually at physiological maturity stage.

The soil characteristics of experimental plots was sandy loam with neutral in pH consisting of a low amount of organic matter (1.76%) and nitrogen (0.08%) but a high level of phosphorous (138.7 kg/ha) and potassium (283.5 kg/ha). All the data on yield and growth and development parameters were analyzed statistically at probability level  $P \leq .05$ .

**Comment [D5]:** Provide a table showing the physical and chemical properties of the soil.

**Comment [D6]:** Indicate the software and the version used for the data analysis.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effect of Sowing Date

The effect of sowing dates and varieties on growth, development and yield of pigeonpea are presented in Tables 1 and 2. A combined result indicated a significant effect at  $P \leq 0.01$  in days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height and seed yield due to the effect of sowing dates (Table 2). The similar results on the all recorded parameters were shown in both the experimental years (Table 1).

The pooled analysis showed significantly longer days to flowering in pigeonpea under early sown condition i.e., 2<sup>nd</sup> July (188 days) followed by 17<sup>th</sup> July (183 days). Similarly, in both the years, pigeonpea took longer duration to flower when seeded on 2<sup>nd</sup> July. It required 166 days (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 185 days (2<sup>nd</sup> July) in the Year I and 160 days (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 192 days (2<sup>nd</sup> July) in the Year II, for 50% flowering. Further the maturity days ranged from 213 days (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 247 days (2<sup>nd</sup> July) in the Year I, while it ranged from 213 (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 260 days (2<sup>nd</sup> July) in the Year II. Similarly, a longer day to mature was recorded when seeded on 2<sup>nd</sup> July to 1<sup>st</sup> August (254 days) under pooled analysis (Table 2).

The pigeonpea sown on 2<sup>nd</sup> July to 1<sup>st</sup> August (251 to 243 cm) had significantly taller plants than sown on 16<sup>th</sup> August to 31<sup>st</sup> August (150 to 143 cm), as a result of the combined analysis (Table 2). During the Year I, the plant height ranged from 141 cm (16<sup>th</sup> August) to 252 cm (2<sup>nd</sup> July), while in Year II it ranged from 138 cm (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 250 cm (2<sup>nd</sup> July) (Table 1).

A combined result of the Year I and Year II recorded a significant higher seed yield at  $p \leq 0.01$  when sown on 2<sup>nd</sup> July (1482 kg/ha) followed by 17<sup>th</sup> July (1279 kg/ha) sown conditions, whereas pigeonpea sowing on 31<sup>st</sup> August produced the lowest seed yield (411 kg/ha) followed by 16<sup>th</sup> August (593 kg/ha). In both years of the experiment, the higher seed yield of pigeonpea was observed with 2<sup>nd</sup> July to 17<sup>th</sup> July sowing conditions. The seed yield of pigeonpea ranged from 390 kg/ha (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 1575 kg/ha (2<sup>nd</sup> July) in Year I, while from 432 kg/ha (31<sup>st</sup> August) to 1399 kg/ha (17<sup>th</sup> July) in Year II.

In the study, earlier flowering (15 to 25 days) and maturity (34 to 40 days), shorter plant height (90 to 108 cm) and three-fold lower yield of pigeonpea recorded under late sown conditions. This might be due to the compression of growing periods that hinder the proper growth and development of the crops. However, earlier sown condition provides the ample time and favorable climatic conditions, especially light, temperature, etc. during growth, development and maturity stages for proper crop growth and development. The late sowing pigeonpea affects seed germination due to higher soil moisture and affects yield due to lower temperature during reproductive and maturity stages [10]. The shorter plant and longer days for flowering and maturity and low yield of pigeonpea in late sown as compared to early sown condition were also observed by different authors [4], [5] and [8], where they reported 7%, 29% and 35% more yield under earlier sown condition than later sown condition, respectively. The results of the study are conformity with the findings of [6], [10] and [11], where they found early sowing of pigeonpea was more productive with regard to growth and yield parameters.

### 3.2 Effect of Variety

The tested promising varieties of pigeonpea had significant effect at  $P \leq 0.01$  on its flowering and maturity time, plant height and seed yield, however in Year I plant height did not differ significantly. The variety of pigeonpea *i.e.*, MA-6 possessed the longer duration to flower (193 days) and maturity (248 days), taller plant (214 cm) and higher seed yield (1028 t/ha), as compared to ICPL-8803. The days to flower, days to maturity, plant height and seed yield were found to be 152 days, 229 days, 197 cm and 888 kg/ha, respectively in ICPL-88039. In Year I and Year II, the days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height and seed yield in both varieties were noted at 150–194 days and 154–193 days, 220–249 days and 239–248 days, 200–211 cm and 195–216 cm, 866–1024 kg/ha and 911–1031 kg/ha, respectively.

The pigeonpea variety MA-6 required more number of days *i.e.*, 41 days and 19 days for flowering and maturity as compared to ICPL-88039. The long duration variety (MA-6) was 17 cm taller and had 16% more seed yield compared with early maturing variety (ICPL-88039). The differential flowering time, maturing time, plant height and seed yield of the varieties was due to the disparity in their genetic makeup and characters. A researcher [6] also reported the different flowering and maturing days, plant height and seed yield due to the effect of varietal characteristics in pigeonpea production, where they noted higher yield of variety UPAS 120 (969 kg/ha), among the three tested varieties. The yield variation in pigeonpea due to varietal difference was also noted by [4], [5] and [8] in their study.

**Table 1. Effects of sowing time and variety on growth attributes and yield of pigeonpea in two different years**

Treatment	Days to flowering		Days to maturity		Plant height, cm		Seed yield, kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	
	Year I	Year II	Year I	Year II	Year I	Year II	Year I	Year II
	Sowing time							
2 <sup>nd</sup> July	185	192	247	260	252	250	1575	1389
17 <sup>th</sup> July	181	186	246	262	238	242	1158	1399
1 <sup>st</sup> August	168	169	250	258	248	238	963	1090
16 <sup>th</sup> August	160	163	216	225	141	160	641	545
31 <sup>st</sup> August	166	160	213	213	149	138	390	432
SEm (±)	1.30	3.36	7.01	1.80	10.48	2.32	61.84	61.00
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	3.85	10.00	20.84	5.34	31.13	6.89	183.73	181.23
Variety								
ICPL-88039	150	154	220	239	200	195	866	911
MA-6	194	193	249	248	211	216	1024	1031
SEm (±)	0.82	2.13	4.44	1.14	6.63	1.47	39.11	38.58
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	2.44	6.32	13.18	3.38	19.69	4.36	116.20	114.62
F-test								
Sowing time	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Variety	**	**	**	**	ns	**	**	*
Sowing time × Variety	**	**	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	ns
CV%	1.8	4.7	7.3	1.8	12.5	2.8	16.6	15.4


**Table 2. Effects of sowing time and variety on growth attributes and yield of pigeonpea (Pooled data of two years)**

Treatment	Days to flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height, cm	Seed yield, kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
Sowing time				
2 <sup>nd</sup> July	188	254	251	1482
17 <sup>th</sup> July	183	254	240	1279
1 <sup>st</sup> August	168	254	243	1026

16 <sup>th</sup> August	162	220	150	593
31 <sup>st</sup> August	163	213	143	411
SEm ( $\pm$ )	1.80	3.79	5.70	42.94
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	5.16	10.86	16.32	122.93
Variety				
ICPL-88039	152	229	197	888
MA-6	193	248	214	1028
SEm ( $\pm$ )	1.14	2.40	3.61	27.16
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	3.27	6.87	10.32	77.75
F-test				
Year	Ns	**	ns	ns
Sowing time	**	**	**	**
Variety	**	**	**	**
Sowing time x Variety	**	ns	ns	ns
CV%	3.6	5.5	9.6	15.5

### 3.4 Interaction Effect

The interaction effect of sowing time and variety showed non-significant difference on the seed yield of pigeonpea (Figure 1). However, the results of the experiments indicated that the highest yield of pigeonpea was found from variety MA-6 with the sowing time of 2<sup>nd</sup> July (1578 kg/ha), while recorded lowest from variety ICPL-88039 (371 kg/ha) seeded on 31<sup>st</sup> August. Both the tested variety performed well under early sowing condition and poor under late sown condition. Similar observation, a non-significant interaction effect of variety and sowing date on pigeonpea was also reported by [5].



**Figure 1. Interaction effects of sowing time and variety on seed yield of pigeonpea (F-test = ns; LSD (0.05) = 173.9)**

### CONCLUSION

Selection of appropriate variety and sowing time is the most important factor for pigeonpea production. A high yielding variety sown at the right time could help to utilize all the production inputs efficiently and optimize yield. Based on the above findings it can be concluded that the suitable time for pigeonpea sowing is the month of July, after which yield reduced radically. The pigeonpea sown on July produced about 50% more yield as compared to sowing on August in the study area. Similarly, a long

duration variety performed better compared to a short duration variety for the pigeonpea cultivation in Mi-West Region of Nepal. However, more research can be carried out for more detailed study of the findings.

## REFERENCES

- [1] FAOSTAT. Crop and livestock products. 2023; Accessed 12 March 2023. Available: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/qcl>.
- [2] MoALD. Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture (2020/21). Government of Nepal, Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development. Planning and Development Coordination Division, Statistics and Analysis Section, Singhdurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal. 2022. Accessed 16 March 2023. Available: <https://moald.gov.np/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/statistical-information-on-nepalese-agriculture-2077-78.pdf>.
- [3] Pokhrel A, Aryal L and Poudel PP. A review on research work of Grain Legumes Research Program, NARC. 2018; NARC Publication serial number: 00686-500/2017/18.
- [4] Kuri S, Shivaramu HS, Thimmegowda MN, Yogananda SB, Prakash SS and Murukannappa. Effect of Row Spacing, Varieties and Sowing Dates on Growth and Yield of Pigeonpea. *Int. J. Current Microbio. Appl. Sci.* 2018; 7(8): 1123-1128. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.127>.
- [5] Ram H, Singh G, Sekhon HS and Khanna V. Effect of sowing time on the performance of pigeonpea genotypes. *J. Food Legumes*, 2011; 24(3): 207-210.
- [6] Kithan L, Sharma MB and Longchar A. Effect of Planting Dates on the Performance of Promising Pigeonpea Genotypes under NEHZ. *Int. J. Eco. Plants*. 2020; 7: 6-8.
- [7] Chopade BJ, Mehendale SK, Desai VS, Narangalkar AL and Sapkal SD. Effect of different dates of sowing on pod borer complex of pigeon pea, (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill Sp.). *J. Entomo. Zool. Stud.* 2020; 8(5): 1048-1051.
- [8] Chawhan, RG, Chahande RV and Deshmukh HS. Effect of sowing date on seed quality of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.]. *The Pharma Innov. J.* 2019; 8(7): 784-789.
- [9] Pokhrel A and Dangi SR. Increasing the productivity of rajma through proper sowing date and plant geometry. *J. Agric. Nat. Resour.* 2022; 5(1): 12-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3126/janr.v5i1.50348>.
- [10] Kumar N, Gopinath KA, Srivastva AK and Mahajan V. Performance of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) at different sowing dates in the mid-hills of Indian Himalaya. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 2008; 54 (5): 507-514.
- [11] Rani BP and Reddy RD. Performance of pigeonpea in sole and intercropping system in vertisols of Krishna - Godavari zone in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* 2010; 44 (3): 225 – 228.