

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_96466
Title of the Manuscript:	Unusual evolution of a serosanguineous bump: about a case
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorrrin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Sections/sub-sections are not numbered Yes 1) Number of citations are very less (Atleast 25 expected) 2) Some citations are not included in paper 3) Citations should be shown (in paper) with large bracket (e. g. [x]) 1) Short form used first time should be full form with short form in to bracket (e. g, APGAR) 2) Data given in the section of « Discussion » (1) The biological assessment had objectified a CRP at 54, NFS: GB at 16460, PNN: 7860, lymphocyte: 6370, Hb: 6.9 (2) the infectious assessment: CRP at 15, White blood cell at 11600, PNN: 4060, Lymphocyte: 6264, with a hemoglobin at 18.7 (3) It is more commonly seen in assisted deliveries, and occurs in 1% to 2% of spontaneous vaginal deliveries, 6% to 10% of vacuum deliveries, 10% of vacuum assisted deliveries, and 4% of forceps assisted deliveries, should be shown in a tabular form	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1) Font of "Abstract", "Keywords" and "references" should be smaller as compare to Main paper	
Optional/General comments	1) Paper should not be written in the form of PAST TENSE	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amipara
Department, University & Country	GTU, India