

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_97893
Title of the Manuscript:	In vivo antivenom effect of Mucuna pruriens and Millettia pinnata (Fabaceae) minerals on biochemical parameters of Oryctolagus cuniculus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	YES YES	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	YES YES	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	YES	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. While writing botanical names, care should be taken to give the correct botanical names. In the present paper, one of the botanical name Millettia pinnata is no longer valid and it should be replaced by Pongamia pinnata (L.) Pierre as done in the text and highlighted in yellow.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	2. The botanical names should be supplemented by giving the correct citation.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	3. The red highlighted portions should be removed.	
Minor REVISION comments	YES	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The paper may be published after the above mentioned corrections has been made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H Manoranjan Sharma
Department, University & Country	Waikhom Mani Girls' College, India