

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_97812
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE, MINERAL AND MICROBIAL ANALYSIS OF LOCALLY PRODUCED JUICE (KUNU, SOYMILK AND TIGERNUT)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.yes 2.yes 3.yes 4.no 5.yes 6.no -The article is too lengthy with unnecessary explanations and duplication of results. The author(s) should section the article as Introduction Material and methods Result and Discussion Conclusion Recommendation References -also, the use of English was terrible, the author(s) wrote the article in future tenses and not in past tense, please make amends where necessary. -in-text citation is not properly done -punctuations also need to be checked properly. -please refer to the manuscript for other corrections that are needed	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.no	
Optional/General comments	Please refer to the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayodeji Oluwatobi Ojetunde
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria