

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_98695
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Seaweed (<i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>) Fortification and Frozen Storage Conditions on the Chemical Composition of Tuna (<i>Thunnus albacare</i>) Sandwich
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes, the manuscript provides innovation in the preparation of tuna sandwich.</p> <p>2) It is suggested that the wording "chemical composition" in the title be replaced with "nutritional composition"</p> <p>3) Yes</p> <p>4) Yes</p> <p>5) Yes</p> <p>6) Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English can be further improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The methodology section was rather brief.</p> <p>1) The recipe should also include the measurements of all ingredients used.</p> <p>2) Where was the seaweed obtained? How was the sample preparation of the seaweed before putting it into the sandwich?</p> <p>3) Storage study: What is the packaging used for the storage of the sandwich?</p> <p>4) No methodology is explained for the determination of moisture, protein, and fat.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marina Abdul Manaf
Department, University & Country	School of Health Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia