

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98417
Title of the Manuscript:	Enabling and Impeding Elements in the provision of Business, Vocational, Entrepreneurship, and Technical Training (BTVET) Education under COVID-19
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The topic is important to scientific community. Yes. The topic is suitable and researchable. Abstract is okay but there is need to indicate the methodology of the review (see General Comment Section). 5 keywords are sufficient. Researcher may delete business, technical training, and BTVET. Since vocational education covered them all.</p> <p>Yes. Yes. Yes. References are adequate.</p> <p>1. The text font type is different from reference list font type. Harmonize. 2. Online sources should include 'date' retrieved. Example: retrieved on 30/3/2023 from https... 3. A conceptual paper or review article is supposed to reveal some findings. As such, presenting the results of this study is recommended to make the study scholarly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Okay with minor edits. Examples: Capitalization, use of abbreviations, proper nouns, among others	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The design of the study is okay but brief about the constructs (Business Education, Technical Education, and Vocational Education) in the topic need to be specified in the introduction/background of the study before extensive elaboration within the text.</p> <p>2. There are no findings or results for this review. A conceptual paper or review article is supposed to reveal some findings. Therefore, a table or chart presenting the results of this study is recommended to make the study more academic.</p> <p>3. Researchers may state that the methodology of the study is articles review. Give some brief about what a review is. Then, mention the number of articles reviewed as sample of the study. Period of time covered, for instance, year 2019 to 2023 (five years) as Scope of the study, etc.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Halliru Shuaibu
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education, Nigeria