

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98357
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Factors Influencing the Enthusiasm to Learn Professional Courses of College Students Majoring in Mathematics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The studies shows the integrations factor to enhance mathematic studies among university students. As stated there are a factor that contribute students to embrace a challenge in mathematic studies. The findings can contribute an optional ways to stakeholders to overcome the issue.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is good, but much better if the writer can state which questionnaire has been used or more clarification on it.</p> <p>Great</p> <p>Well done!</p> <p>Well done!</p> <p>The writers should clarify more about the method usage. Did mentioned about SPSS, but...what versions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate	
Optional/General comments	ood research!	

ART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hjh Zalina Zakaria
Department, University & Country	Pasir Puteh Fully Residential School (Boarding School),Malaysia