

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_98382
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by the small millet growers and millet processing units in Madurai region.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Not really. 2. The current title is not really fit the manuscript title. 3. Author should restructure the content of the abstract. Introduction for the prepared abstract is too lengthy. And the proposed objective is also unclear. Next, for methodology, it is unclear. What is the research method? How to analysis the collected data? Moreover, no specific findings and implications are found from the abstract. 4. Introduction – Overall, the prepared introduction is not attractive, very dull. No problem statement been discussed in the introduction. Actually, the problem statements can be used as backdrop for objective of the manuscript. Literature review – Author should prepare the literature reviewing. Methodology – Overall, it is unclear especially research procedure, data collection, sample size? Findings – What is the fundamental information of the studied samples/respondents? Author should link the text with the figure/percentage from the Table. Conclusions - Author should summarize the objective followed by the findings. Besides, we have to insert implications in the conclusion. 5. No. 6. References - Author should ensure that all the used citations are listed in the references. Author should ensure the format of preparing s correct. The number of references is too less and not the latest one. Author should restructure the content of manuscript and there are a number of grammar mistakes found in the manuscript.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Author should send the paper for proofreading before submitting for publication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Winnie Wong Poh Ming</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business and Management, University of Technology Sarawak , Malaysia</b>