

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98562
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF PERINEURAL INVASION IN ORAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH WORST PATTERN OF INVASION AND STAGE OF TUMOR- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The information is relevant. 2. Yes, 3. Yes, 4. Yes, 5. It is suggested that graphs of the tabulated results could be made, and put Table and the number, instead of only presenting tables, for example of frequencies and percentages, use the percentage symbol, to make that section more attractive. 6. Yes,	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	t presents some typographical errors of spaces, or words together, and if it requires checking the grammar in some paragraphs.	
Optional/General comments	The study is interesting and of interest to the community, it is recommended to seek to make the results section more striking. and discussion of them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma. Del Carmen Chávez Parga
Department, University & Country	Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, México