

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97803
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Vitro Antioxidant, Anti-Arthritis, Anti-inflammatory, Thrombolysis, Anti-Bacterial, and In-Vivo Neuropharmacological activities of Bioactive Metabolites of Solanum Americanum Mill.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES (if possible consider using less words which are more informative) YES YES YES	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate changes are required	
Optional/General comments	The present manuscript is scientifically sound and well written. Few concerns that I have are: The introduction part needs to be directed towards the aim and objective of the research and relevant literature. It contains a lot of information that is non-relevant to the present research. Please modify. PARAGRAPH 2.8.1 Please rewrite the sentence saying "... were asked to give 4ml". PARAGRAPH 3.1 The entire paragraph needs to be rewritten specifically "... steroids that were not present in table 1" How many replicates were included in the experiments? Were you able to reproduce the results in biological replicates as well? The data in table 2 table 3 should be represented in the form of graphs. Its hard to track. The concentration unit for the tested drug is missing from table 2 and table 3.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aarti Sharma
Department, University & Country	Mayo Clinic Arizona, USA