

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_98203
Title of the Manuscript:	INSTITUTIONS IN LAND ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLICATIONS ON LOCAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE NKAMBE PLATEAU, NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	YES YES No, see additional comments. YES YES YES ABSTRACT: (1) Remove references from the abstract; (2) The main aim not the objective. Author should correct this; (3) Results and its statistical analysis are not included. Authors should rework the abstract by effecting the above stated corrections. INTRODUCTION: (1) Full meaning of acronyms/ abbreviation first before writing them in the bracket () e.g. DO and SDO must be carried out at the first point/ place of their uses, then you can use the acronyms in the subsequent ones; (2) Statement of the research problem should be towards the last part of the introduction. METHODOLOGY: (1) See the highlighted sentences and make corrections; (2) Avoid the use of capital within a sentence e.g. The Informal institutions, should be informal. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Good. CONCLUSION: Good. REFERENCES: Good.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Morakinyo, Barnabas Ojo
Department, University & Country	Baze University, Nigeria