

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_97259</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of agro-ecological zones on the agronomic performance of cowpea in the Central African Republic</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Yes</b>	
1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>Yes</b>	
2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>The abstract demand few revision that I edited in the manuscript</b>	
3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, but requires revisions</b>	
5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>		
6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, but requires others additional references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	English language needs improvement	
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This work is interesting to know in a country whose cowpea culture is poorly developed. But many results have not been exploited. It is interesting to separate agronomic performances and diseases in this study. My inputs are in manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Bougma L. Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Burkina Faso</b>