

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98226
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of planting geometry and nutrient management on yield, economics and quality of dwarf rice bean (<i>Vigna umbellata</i>) under rainfed condition
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Oke</p> <p>Not at all</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Grammar needs to be fixed	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Add research objectives to the abstract</p> <p>2. Between paragraphs in the introduction does not show a unity that lies behind the problem to be studied. Sentences between paragraphs impressed on their own. Does not show the relationship between paragraphs.</p> <p>3. For example, paragraph 2 discusses rice. Paragraph 3 reviews about geometry. It is not clear what is the relationship between paragraphs 2 and 3. Likewise with paragraph 4 regarding nutrients</p> <p>4. Literature citations in the discussion are not enough just to support, but need to explain the results of research conducted by other researchers.</p> <p>5. What are the conclusions from this research?</p> <p>6. The conclusion is not a summary of the results, but the outcome of the research results for the community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahayu Woro Lestari
Department, University & Country	University of Islam Malang, Indonesia