

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98226
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of planting geometry and nutrient management on yield, economics and quality of dwarf rice bean (<i>Vigna umbellata</i>) under rainfed condition
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The MS deal with important legume crop suitably grown hilly region of NEH of India. The production constraints addressed is routine type with importance to phosphorus and molybdenum nutrition for legumes in acid soil.</p> <p>2. Yes (looking wordy)</p> <p>3. Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write information about treatment details in short in abstract. • Write result in the comparative form such as increase in studied parameter over control or inferior treatment or recommended practices. • Concluding statement should contain quantifiable information than saying/ writing just best treatment name. • Is it nutrient management or Integrated nutrient management? Please made needful correction in title and over entire MS. <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References: Avoid citing old references and cross check the reference for their presence in both in text and reference list; Strictly follow the reference writing style of the journal both for citing references in the text and enlisting them in the reference list.</p> <p>Introduction: Lacking review of literature on same line (Selected crop explored in selected region before on national level); Clearly define the research gap for the present investigation.</p> <p>Material and methods: Provide information about climatic condition and prevailing weather during crop growing season. Mention sowing time, sowing method and crop variety; Is seed rate is same in all three spacing? Provide the procedure name and reference followed for nitrogen analysis of grain.</p> <p>Result and discussion: Avoid repetition/ duplicity of results and reading of table in text; Avoid writing S1, S2, S3.F0 ...F4, etc after treatment name (for entire MS); Combine table 1 and 2; Information on price of grain per quintal? Is price of stover is considered for calculation of returns? Results need to discuss for protein yield and economics in more elaborative than just writing the "results are in conformity with"</p> <p>Conclusion: Write the conclusion in quantifiable form and not in way it was written (It is clear from this conclusion how much advantages has been expect from best treatment over control or other treatment.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit A. Shahane
Department, University & Country	Central Agriculture University, India