

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_97690
Title of the Manuscript:	POSITIVE STIMULATORY POTENTIALS OF COCONUT (Cocos nucifera L.) JUICE EXTRACT ON INVIVO ANTIOXIDANTS, RENAL FUNCTION AND LIPID PROFILE OF MALE WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejimp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This manuscript describes the important role of the coconut juice extract on preventing diseases. This investigation demonstrates the positive stimulatory potentials of the coconut juice extract. 2. The title reflects the content of the manuscript. 3. The abstract is clear. 4. The structure of the manuscript respects the original paper's structure. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct also the language and the originality. 6. The authors used sufficient and recent references. 7. explanatory text must be added for each result obtained. In the results section, the authors presented just the figures without explanation of the observed results. even if it was mentioned in the discussion but it must be added. So explanatory text must be added for each result obtained.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is necessary to rectify in the text the term Colorimetric instead of colourimetric.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Errafiy Nadia
Department, University & Country	Mohammed VI University of Health and Sciences, Morocco