

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_97088
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAF EPIDERMAL MICROSCOPY, CHEMO-MICROSCOPY AND GC-MS ANALYSES OF THREE OCIMUM SPECIES FROM NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapj.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript has reasonable scientific merit.</p> <p>The title of the article is relevant.</p> <p>Abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The overall structure of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>In my opinion, the work has substantive scientific correctness.</p> <p>References are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The proficiency of the English language is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The current research work titled 'LEAF EPIDERMAL MICROSCOPY, CHEMO-MICROSCOPY AND GC-MS ANALYSES OF THREE OCIMUM SPECIES FROM NIGERIA' was an appreciable work as it reports 49 compounds in <i>O. canum</i>, 35 compounds in <i>O. basilicum</i>, and 34 compounds for <i>O. gratissimum</i> which were supported by relevant techniques. 2. Geographical distribution of the <i>Ocimum</i> sp. has been neatly described. 3. Structural description, for instance, Anomocytic stomata was described in an articulated way. 4. Results section were well supported and documented by related figures and tables. 5. Discussion part has been extended in the light of current references. 6. The work reported 3-allyl-6-methoxyphenol, 1-Cyclopentene-1-methanol, 2-methyl-5-1-methyl, and thymol as the abundant compounds in <i>O. basilicum</i>, <i>O. canum</i> and <i>O. gratissimum</i> respectively. In this regards, authors are suggested to test the pharmaceutical bioactivity of the reported compounds for future research as it paves the way for novel breakthroughs, particularly in epidemiological and infectious biology research. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sk Chand Basha
Department, University & Country	KL Deemed to be University, India