

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_98474
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of the Phytochemistry, Ethno-medicinal, and Pharmacological Properties of <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L. (Billygoat weed)
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Important.</b>  <b>Phytochemistry, Ethno-medicinal, and Pharmacological Properties of <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L. (Billygoat weed): a review</b>  <b>Comprehensive</b>  <b>Appropriate . Some changes are suggested, like the word <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> should not be repeated when there is a fresh subtitle. Modification required as suggested. Shortening of the MS is required. Some numbering for each section is required for e.g. 1,2,3 or A, B, C or (a), (b),( c)</b>  <b>Sufficient.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable. But a finale proof reading is suggested for any correction of typing mistakes and appropriate words.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is suggested to compare list of references again with author references in the body of the MS. This is too long a review. Make this article short. Give taxonomical treatment of this specific plant called <i>A.conyzoides</i>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohd Mustaque Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United College, India</b>