

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPR_98648</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mustard allergy in children and cross-reaction: Case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, I believe that this manuscript is important. Considering how common mustard products are in general food products, the disclosure of its possible allergic affects is of utmost importance.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is comprehensive and direct.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is.</p> <p>5. Yes. I believe that the experimentations, consent and following discussion can bring context and a general view of allergic reactions to mustard.</p> <p>6. Most references are from the past decade, so, some can be out of date. Here is some references that can be suitable for the manuscript introduction and discussion:  Sharma, Akanksha, et al. "A comprehensive review on mustard-induced allergy and implications for human health." <i>Clinical reviews in allergy &amp; immunology</i> 57.1 (2019): 39-54.  L'Hocine, Lamia, Mélanie Pitre, and Allaoua Achouri. "Detection and identification of allergens from Canadian mustard varieties of <i>Sinapis alba</i> and <i>Brassica juncea</i>." <i>Biomolecules</i> 9.9 (2019): 489.  Tomei, Leonardo, et al. "Hidden and Rare Food Allergens in Pediatric Age." <i>Nutrients</i> 15.6 (2023): 1386.</p> <p>7. <b>Additional comments:</b>  Introduction:  Add references related to the "most common allergies in children".  Discussion:  Add the original references for Morisset and Figureoa.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, I believe that English is suitable and comprehensive.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guilherme Oyarzabal da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Azores, Portugal</b>