

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_96827
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL MODELING OF RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION IN JOS, PLATEAU STATE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is important as it reports the findings from an interesting study.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>It requires some additions for example, "Discussion" or "Analysis of Results" section.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Please add some references that are from 2019-2023.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, it has many grammatical mistakes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The abstract must not exceed 200 words.</p> <p>Many grammatical mistakes exist please correct them.</p> <p>Pay attention to the layout of this paper, e.g. line spacing is different in many places.</p> <p>No motivation and contribution of the paper is discussed.</p> <p>The "Literature Review" must be discussed separately.</p> <p>In the methods and materials section, You have not explained where you got the data.</p> <p>Kindly give some details and add a figure of map representation of that study area.</p> <p>Show graphical work and calculation for each distribution, then analyse or discuss the data separately under the "Discussion" or "Analysis of Results" section.</p> <p>This will enable your work to sound technically or scientifically robust.</p> <p>Then write conclusion and highlight some limitations of your work along with future considerations.</p> <p>Lastly, add some more references as evidence of your work.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salman Bin Sami
Department, University & Country	SZABIST, Pakistan