

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_98116
Title of the Manuscript:	Far beyond the IgE Insights into the clinical profile of allergic patients with selective IgE deficiency, urticarial vasculitis, allergic pharyngitis, and perennial allergic conjunctivitis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This is an interesting study in which the authors compare the prevalence of some allergic conditions between two groups of patients, One group with detectable IgE and the other group are patients with selective IgE deficiency in one institute in Brazil.</p> <p>The title is suitable. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. Line 344: relative risk for AF-----The correct is "relative risk for AP" 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generally the manuscript is properly structured and understood. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayman A Allam
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt