

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98245
Title of the Manuscript:	Emotional Intelligence as Mediator of Quality of Work Life and Commitment: Context of Public Sector Employees
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community. 2. The article title given is by the article's contents. But the title is too long. The word used should be a maximum of 15 words. 3. The abstract has fulfilled important points, such as research objectives, research methodology, research results, and conclusions. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the research methodology section only discusses data analysis. should contain the type of research, population and sample, and data collection tools. 5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct. 6. The article references are in the latest edition. 7. The keywords should be a maximum of 5 words. choosing keywords using simple, clear language, and showing the characteristics of the title. 8. Kindly keep the conclusion to a maximum of 2 paragraphs. where the first paragraph is the conclusion of the research and the second paragraph is suggestions and recommendations. 9. Kindly attention to writing techniques that must be adapted to the journal template. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the language/English is too convoluted and does not use simple yet clear language.	
Optional/General comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia