

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98065
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHALLENGES FACING HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT IN PROCESSING PROMOTIONS AND SALARY UPGRADING OF TEACHERS: A CASE OF GA WEST EDUCATION DIRECTORATE OF THE GREATER ACCRA REGION OF GHANA</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>1. This research is pretty important for the stakeholders. It presents evidences required to prove the point.</b>  <b>2. Slight change is required. "CHALLENGES FACED BY HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT REGARDING TEACHERS' PROMOTIONS AND SALARY UPGRADING: A CASE OF GA WEST EDUCATION DIRECTORATE OF THE GREATER ACCRA REGION OF GHANA"</b>  <b>3. The abstract is effective enough.</b>  <b>4. Yes.</b>  <b>5. Yes</b>  <b>6. Yes</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language needs corrections. I would recommend if the author goes through the manuscript and remove language errors. Besides, there are some formatting errors as well which need to be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Najeeb Us Saqlain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NED University of Engineering &amp; Technology, Pakistan</b>