

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98345
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-hyperlipidemic Effect of Methanol Seed Kernel Extract of Mangifera indica on Wistar Rats fed High Fat Diet
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes.</p> <p>2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Yes.</p> <p>4- Yes.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- No.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments:</p> <p>1- Correct some of the errors underlined and marked with red color that should be done.</p> <p>2- The abstract should be separated into background, aims, conclusions.</p> <p>3- You must leave a space at the beginning of each paragraph.</p> <p>4- Add references to the Materials and Methods with more clarification.</p> <p>5- There are no Conclusions , Recommendations and Acknowledgments.</p> <p>6- Modern references are very few. I suggest adding more recent references.</p> <p>7-Discussions is not enough.</p> <p>8- The references in the text should preferably be at the top of the end of the sentence in [].</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Ahmed Kadhum
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, University of Babylon, Iraq