

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_94153
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Abiotic Factors on larval and pupal Population Dynamics of Fruit Fly (<i>Bactrocera dorsalis</i>)
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.State the interval of collection of the fruits in the methodology2.How do you determine the laval and paupal population3.Present the results in form of bar chart or table with standard deviation since the date should be taking twice or more4. The abstract are not adequate and there is no conclusions, it should be included.5.The discussion is inadequate, the discussion should include comparism with other related work and giving reasons for the deviation as the case may be6.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.The organization of the paper not satisfactory, no results was presented in form of chat, table or graph2. The reference should be revised to follow that of journal format3.The methodology is not detailed	
Optional/General comments	The paper is within the scope of the journal as such it can accepted after the corrections. Objective, Research Questions and Hypothesis are clear but the results and discussion are not adequate enough to justify the objectives.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Enemona Oguche
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria