

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97889
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of UV- Spectroscopic method For Simultaneous Estimation of Metformin Hydrochloride and Pravastatin Sodium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes it is necessary for scientific committee, without scientific committee we cannot recognize our work in the society of science, we can publish this article, article is structured. Title is suitable Abstract of article is concise and appropriate. Appropriate In my opinion manuscript is structured. I think few more references can be needed, many more options are available.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Manuscript is in normal English, anybody can read and understand.	
Optional/General comments	Article is suitable for pharmacy and pharmacological society.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ved Prakash
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, IMS, India