

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International                                      |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JGEESI_97696  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Hospital Location Allocation in Murshidabad District by GIS-Based MCA and Analytical Hierarchy Process |
| Type of the Article      | Case study   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   |  |   |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)   | Yes  |   |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes  |   |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  | Somewhat   |   |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?   | The abstract should significantly revise in terms of Language, aims, methodology, results              |   |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?   | It seems correct.  |   |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | References are insufficient and old<br><br>The language of the paper should be improved significantly. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  | No   |   |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Alireza Khoshkonesh               |
| Department, University & Country | Birkbeck University of London, UK |