

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JESBS_97669   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHERS' INSTRUCTIONAL COMPETENCES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETENCE-BAED CURRICULUM: A CASE STUDY OF MPWAPWA DISTRICT COUNCIL |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | 1-Yes<br>2-Yes<br>3-Yes<br>4-The work is carried out in a meticulously detailed way, allowing to diagnose a reality that is interesting to study. In the first two pages the concept of competencies is repeated a lot, it is suggested to adjust the wording. The research is proposed as a case study, but what criteria were considered in the selection of the sample that is proposed in a probabilistic way by purposeful and Simple random sampling techniques, on what total population and why these institutions It is necessary to expand this information<br>5- Yes<br>6- Yes |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                          |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Minnaard Vivian</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>UFASTA, Argentina</b> |