

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMB_97632</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Heavy Metal Removal from Electronic Waste Polluted Water Using Indigenous Fungi and Its Implications on Public Health</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>It will require a modification – Appraising the Heavy Metals Reduction Potential of Some Indigenous Fungal Species – Case Study of a Community in Western Nigeria.</b>  <b>No. Requires update as indicated in the manuscript.</b>  <b>No as indicated in the manuscript. There were redundant sections- notably on physical and chemical analyses. The author did not demonstrate the relevance of the section to the aims. Not entirely.</b>  <b>Yes. Suggestion is to limit references to only those cited in text.</b>  <b>Rework entire segment to flow. Expand on the study background to provide a context for the study and reason for assaying for heavy metals and reducing opportunities.</b>  <b>Provide a statement of problem and justification of the study.</b>  <b>Include the objectives of study and tie the discussions to each of the subject and determine that all conclusions appropriately addresses them.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. However, minimize the use of excessively long sentences as mentioned in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Godleads Omokhagbor Adams</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>