

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_98099
Title of the Manuscript:	DOES PSYCHOACTIVE SUBSTANCE USE AFFECT ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS?: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes the manuscript important for scientific community, for getting further information on the topic and develop another hypothesis out of it with solutions to the problem. 2. Yes the title of the article is suitable. 3. No, it requires rewriting of the result as the outcome doesn't match with the aim and objectives of the study. 4. Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes it is scientifically correct with minor corrections suggested. 6. Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and grammar at few places have to be redone as per the suggestions provided. Quality of the article is found to be suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The topic of the article is good, well written and scientifically sound, except the parameters which are affected because of psychotropic drug consumption and how it has deteriorated the performance and productivity is affected has to be written little more with emphasis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No major issue, but the sample size of population is not clear and the ethical clearance letter reference is not been quoted anywhere.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunita Pawar
Department, University & Country	PES-Modern College of Pharmacy, India