

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_98098
Title of the Manuscript:	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF PATTERN AND PREVALENCE OF CANNABIS USE AMONG TRANSPORTERS AND ASSOCIATED ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. It did not only highlight the different cannabis-use prevalence rates by drivers, but also recommends the most appropriate methods for achieving effective testing of the substance, especially after an accident.</b></p> <p><b>The title is very suitable.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract covers the different aspects of the manuscript, including the results and conclusion.</b></p> <p><b>The subsections are in order.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. It identified cannabis influence during driving as problem, noting the adverse effects of the risky behaviour and the associated problems over lack of proper testing methods. Its conclusive part recommends appropriate solution.</b></p> <p><b>40 references are sufficient, and nearly 50% of the reference materials cited fall within the last five years.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, the English quality is of professional research standard.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is well-written with only a single semantic error (Abstract – lines 5 and 6; same as Introduction – lines 9 and 10).	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adiele Ikenna Tonna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</b>