

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_97701
Title of the Manuscript:	Prosthetic rehabilitation in carcinoma of the tongue and oral pelvis: Presentation of a clinical case and literature review
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</li> <li>2. No, the title is not suitable for the study. It would be better if the word literature review is not added and started the title with the sentence A case study.....</li> <li>3. No, the abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It has been started with objective. Please follow the guideline while writing abstract</li> <li>4. Yes, it seems okay</li> <li>5. No, the manuscript is not scientifically correct. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The manuscript has not followed author's guideline while writing.</li> <li>- The font and font size of the article is not according to the guideline</li> <li>- Word limit has exceeded.</li> <li>- What about consent of the patient?</li> <li>- What about ethical consideration?</li> <li>- What about duration of study? Since, in some line there is mentioning of 1 month and even 12 month. Please explain</li> <li>- Please show the only relevant figure and not all. Please follow the guideline to attach the figure not just randomly anywhere.</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. The reference is not according to guideline and sufficient. Please add some more relevant reference</li> </ol> <p><b>Note: Additional comments are added in the manuscript. Please find the attached comment in manuscript section.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is grammatical mistake in many areas. Spelling check should also be done. Language/English quality should be done thoroughly.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Please follow a pattern for writing a case study. Please take a reference from the guideline.</li> <li>- Where is the management and outcome part of the study?</li> <li>- It would be better if you add some limitation and recommendation if there is any.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Saibijaya Rijal
Department, University & Country	Manmohan Technical University, Nepal