

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97569
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Organic Carbon and Soil Physico-chemical Properties as Affected by Long Term Organic Cropping Systems in Indian Punjab
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article represents the changes in soil physical and chemical properties as affected by organic farming practised in different cropping system under long-term study which is very important for the readers as physical properties may adjudge the chemical fertility of the soils too. Moreover, use of organic input has a significant effect on soil physical properties as well as on SOC, so is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Not appropriate, suggested article is presented in the comments given in the manuscript.</p> <p>Quite comprehensive, however few suggestion is given which needs to be incorporated for its publication Yes appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically correct</p> <p>References are sufficient but not recent. At few subsection of the manuscript like for MWD reference has not been given. At many places references are old which should be replaced with the latest reference after 2020.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Manuscript should be thoroughly revised for its english language, at some place suggested also	
Optional/General comments	Throughout the manuscript similarity should be maintained, like depth-wise, long-term etc . Depth 0-7.5 cm, 7.5-15.0, 15.0-22.5 and 22.5-30.0 cm, References should be in the style of the journal etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Goyal
Department, University & Country	CCS Haryana Agricultural University, India