

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_97337
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional uses and anticancer potential of the Combretum genus: a literature review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	None	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	None	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The literature topic of "Traditional uses and anticancer potential of the Combretum genus: a literature review" is an interesting but it seems short content. However, the vital point was included in the recent work. Before accepting the publication, the small point of error needs to revise and clarify by authors.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please check all of the grammatically error in the whole manuscript and typing error.</li> <li>The figure of anticancer pathway of Combretum genus should be provided in the manuscript. It is very importance.</li> <li>According to figure 1, authors mentioned or showed only the chemical structure of bioactive compound in <b>Combretum genus</b>, however, the significant point of each compound or the main compound that act as or play a role important in anti-cancer properties dose not found in the manuscript. It should be focusing and discuss more in this point.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Utthapon Issara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand</b>