

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchinSurgery
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRS_98225
TitleoftheManuscript:	BacteriologicalProfileandtheirdrugssensitivityprofileinDiabeticFootUlcerinaTertiaryCareCenter
TypeoftheArticle	Casestudy

GeneralguidelineforPeerReview process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Toknowthecomplete guidelinefor PeerReviewprocess,reviewers arerequestedtovisitthislink:

<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correctthemanuscriptandhighlightthat partinthe manuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/her feedback here)
<p>CompulsoryREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthemanuscriptimportantforscientificcommunity? (Pleasewritefewsentencesonthismanuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnot pleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p> <p>3. Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscriptappropriate?</p> <p>5. Doyouthinkthemanuscriptisscientificcorrect?</p> <p>6. Are there references sufficient and recent? If you havesuggestion of additional references, please mention in thereviewform.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free toprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes,theaimsofthiscasestudytoidentifythecommonbacterialinfectionofDFUandtheirantibiogram is essential for the success treatment of secondary infection, reduce legamputationand mortalitycasesdueto diabetes.</p> <p>It is suggested to change the current manuscript to "<i>Identification and AntimicrobialResistantPatternofBacterialsolatedfromDiabeticFootUlcerCasesinTertiaryCareCenter, Pune</i>"</p> <p>No.Poorlywritten.Pleaserewriteaccordingtoguideline.Thekeyfindingsinresultswerenotmentioned in theabstract.</p> <p>Lackofmanysubheading(pleaserefertocommentsinthereviewedmanuscript)</p> <p>Yes.Butitisstronglysuggestedtoberewriteaccordingtoproperguidelineandcommentsprovided</p> <p>Acceptablewithseveraloutdatedreferenceused.</p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1.Islanguage/Englishqualityofthe articlesuitableforscholarlycommunications?</p>	Acceptable	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	Please refer to all comments provided in the reviewed manuscript. It is essential toinclude the university human research ethical clearance/ approval code to indicate thatthisstudy wereapproved bythe appropriateethical committee.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Faizal Ghazali
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia