Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCS_97610**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of reduced tillage on dynamic soil properties and Winter triticale performance in organic farm at Wageningen, The Netherlands**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes, Manuscript is scientifically correct.** **Title of the article is appropriate.** **Abstract is comprehensive. Major findings of the study and conclusion should be added to improve the quality of the abstract section.** Structure of the manuscript is appropriate. But references should be added with mentioning proper citation. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct have some practical importance in the scientific community. References are sufficient but it must be maintained by A-to-Z format. References should be written in a hanging form.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Sentences are grammatically correct	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be accepted after these minor corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Arindam Ghosh**
Department, University & Country	**Sister Nivedita University, India**