## Review Form 1.7

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJRCS_97582** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Effect of deficit irrigation at different growth periods on yield and quality of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum l.) first ratoon.** |
| Type of the Article | |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments<br><br>1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?**<br>4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?**<br>5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?**<br>6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.**<br>**(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)** | Dear authors,<br>I have reviewed your manuscript; your study is informative and is a good contribution to the scientific community.<br>The title, abstract and methodology is suitable and comprehensive and the results are valid.<br>As, I have found several issues regarding structure of the manuscript, conclusion, references and typing and grammatical errors.<br>Mention application names in the abstract (section study design) which were used in this study.<br>There are lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the articles.<br>I have highlighted all the errors and typos in the track file please check and apply changes.<br>Follow same style, space, fonts, headings throughout the manuscript. | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments<br>1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Please also improve the language quality and revise the whole manuscript again. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | There are lot of errors in the references, please recheck all references, remove typing errors, extra spaces, fix size and style and follow same style throughout the manuscript.<br>Also, there are few grammatical and spelling mistakes in the paper, please review it.<br>Conclusion is too short, add at least one more paragraph in the conclusion section for the clear interpretation of the study.<br>Check track file for additional changes and comments. | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |

## Review Form 1.7

**Reviewer Details:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Irshad Hussain** |
| Department, University & Country | **School of Earth Science and Resources, Chang'an University, China** |