Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Pediatric Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPR_97319**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Clinical and dermoscopic features of Winer's nodular solitary calcinosis**
Type of the Article	**Case report**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes, the manuscript might have some value for scientific community in future. Because it's just a case report of single case, we will need a larger study to establish the findings.** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	No. Sentence framing and use of grammer should be improved.	
Optional/General comments	**Overall, I found it to be a informative piece. However, I noticed a few instances where the sentence framing could be rephrased for greater clarity and readability.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Alisha**
Department, University & Country	**Father Muller Medical College, India**