

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98063
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Mathematics Space Concept of Junior High School Students in China
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The author also can include the comparative analysis after giving the appropriate training on the space recognition by the students.</p> <p>Both analyses can be computed by the student to determine the effectiveness in recognition and devising the space concept dimensions</p> <p>Title: Weak point analysis in the mathematics space concepts among Junior High School Students in China</p> <p>Abstract is apt</p> <p>In the subsection the methodology can be represented using the Process flow diagram</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript can be accepted</p> <p>Many of the references are very old and some more literature can be included</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the article is professionally presented	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakshmi J V N
Department, University & Country	PISM, India