

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_97619
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING SPECIES EXTRACTION VOLUME AND RESIDUES OF ILLEGAL AND CONVENTIONAL LOGGING OPERATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes of course it is important for scientific community as it highlight to protect our environmental ecosystem. Need to change the title according to work Yes, the abstract is comprehensive Yes, it is appropriate. But need improvement in Table section, as the values are not highly visible. Yes, it is scientifically correct Need to highlight recent citation to highlight and compare your research work The author needs to provide the datas in the table in a very clear manner. The table section seems to be not clear. The author need to revised that table section in very clear way. The photos also not clear in the article.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is suited. But needed little improvement in regarding to pictures and table section.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the work is more suited to prevent the Illegal logging. This work pay the way to do some advanced kind of research by using some advanced software to stop this kind of logging.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Thiruchelvi
Department, University & Country	Vels institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies, India