

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_97789
Title of the Manuscript:	ADULT COLOCOLIC INTUSUSCEPTION SECONDARY TO LIPOMA – ENTEROTOMY OVER RESECTION – A DIFFERENT APPROACH
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes, colocolic intussusception being not a common entity and standard treatment involves resection & anastomosis, a novel way of treatment is being described, which can be studied further in benign lesions if confirmed preoperatively to reduce the morbidity. The case details have been brought out crisp & crystal clear.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some part of discussion has wrongly shifted after conclusion. The conclusion of manuscript may be refined further for the scientific community as a whole with the message the authors want to convey.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient, but old. Newer references would be ideal, bringing latest concepts in management</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun Kumar M
Department, University & Country	Armed Forces Medical College, India