

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJBGMB_98039 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | FERTILITY EVALUATION OF ADULT FEMALE WISTAR RATS ADMINISTERED WITH ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF Pausinystalia yohimbe STEM BARK |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbgb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. The work evaluates the fertility of adult female rats. 2. The title expresses the situation under study. 3. It is necessary to mention the experimental design used. 4. The work has an adequate structure. 5. It is recommended to apply a test of comparison of means against control (Dunnett's test). 6. The references are adjusted to the context of the investigation. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Minor: yes, acceptable. | |
| Optional/General comments | Optional: discuss the confidence intervals. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Manuel Emilio Milla Pino |
| Department, University & Country | National University of Jaen, Peru |