

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_97801
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence Utilized in 3D Printing Construction Technology
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. This study is very important for Scientific community. 2. The tile is perfect ant tell us about the manuscript. 3. Yes It is clearing. 4. Of course. We percept the right division of the text. 5. Yes, the manuscript is academic and scientific. 6. I Agree with the references used here in the text. I would suggest to the author to read (if he wants) the book: Russel, Stuart (2021). Artificial intelligence in our favor: how to maintain control over technology.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes. It is an academic communication.	
Optional/General comments	This article has a good language and the reader can read well.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felipe Rodrigues Bomfim
Department, University & Country	Universidade do Estado da Bahia, Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da, Brazil