

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_97469
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Acetylation on the Physicochemical Properties of Starch Extract from Caladium Bicolor
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes , it is. (Researchers investigated the effect of acetylation on Caladium bicolor starch's physicochemical properties. An acetic anhydride-acetylated C bicolor starch has been demonstrated to function as an acetylating agent. In addition to demonstrating acetylation, FTIR results also reveal some functional groups associated with starch as well as evidence of its acetylation.) 2. Yes, it is. 3. Yes. It is. 4. Yes, they ar. 5. Yes, I do. 6. Yes, they are.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fix language revisions Grammar and punctuation must be corrected	
Optional/General comments	Follow journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudad H. AL-Obaidi
Department, University & Country	Saint Petersburg State Mining University, Russia