

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_105124</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>4 AT delirium assessment tool in hospitalized non-ICU patients (≥65 years): A systematic review on validity and reliability</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Systematic Review</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, it's good and important subject</p> <p>2- May be, but the title best to contain clear words without abbreviation like 4AT, and may be more understanding if slightly modified like <b>Assessment of delirium in hospitalized non-ICU geriatric patients: A systematic review</b></p> <p>3- may need more adjustment, it contains too much abbreviation without explanation make it hard to understand by nonspecial one in psychiatric diseases. So, first mention of the abbreviations should write the full name,like PICO, DSM-5, PRISMA, 4 AT, CAM, DSM-4, OBS, AMT4.</p> <p>4- yes, but the introduction is long and contain some statement more suitable in methods especially the types of testes. Also, it best to unifying and concentrating the aim of review is required.</p> <p>5-Yes</p> <p>6- yes, but the 34 and 36 references not mentioned in its arrangement in writing, also the present study check the studies from 2016 to 2022 but in references mention the studies in 1999, 1998, &amp;1990.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some statements need more adjustment. <b>I mention and change the color of some points if authors went to benefit from them.</b> Grammar must be improved</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Check author guidelines</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahmood J. Jawad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>