

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_90779
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of healthy fiber-rich herbal crackers from whole wheat, finger millet, rice bran, and <i>Gymnema sylvestre</i> leaves
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Since method is of new, photos of preparation and finished cracker must be added.2. Data of Fibre content, moisture content are simply added in the result, without stating the analytical method followed and lacks procedures of it.3. Flour blend (F) was partially substituted with 1%, 5%, and 8% of <i>G. sylvestre</i> leaves (G). Why 5% was selected as G? Add optimization of G.4. The mean moisture, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber, crude ash, and total carbohydrate contents of raw ingredients varied from (11.1± 0.86- 7.77±0.18). What is the unit?5. Gallic acid was used as a standard compound; add the calibration curve showing R² value and equation for this.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reference should be made uniform.2. Graphpad prism seems to be used for content and activity study to develop the graph. kindly mention it.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. References should be made uniform. some references are written in uppercase letter.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemraj Sharma
Department, University & Country	Purbanchal University, Nepal