

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_91869
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRICULTURE PRODUCTIVITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN INDIA: AN ARDL MODEL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Result review manuscript with title : AGRICULTURE PRODUCTIVITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN INDIA: AN ARDL MODEL</p> <p>This manuscript is interesting, it is acceptable if it is corrected for the following points (more details can be found in the manuscript):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract correct the treatment section, add an introductory sentence (1 to 2 sentences). 2. Write the abbreviation of ADF, ARDL, GCF at the beginning to appear ADF,ARDL,GCF 3. Re-check the referenced and referenced libraries, adjusted. Replace libraries that are too old. There are some references that are lacking and some need to be deleted because they were not cited in the previous chapter. 4. Result and discussion: the display of results (table) is improved, make it more informative and writing consistency 5. Conclusion: There is an imperative sentence in this concluding chapter (First, explain GDP). Torrect the sentence as a whole in the conclusion chapter 6. Reference: too few references for international journal classes, add and recheck the referenced literature with the reference. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kristamtini
Department, University & Country	Indonesia