

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_89883
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Efficacy of Picrorhiza kurroa against Malaria caused by Plasmodium falciparum & Plasmodium vivax
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript portrays an interesting issue, which is the search for new antimalarials. However, this study has several limitations and is poorly written. It looks like a technical report and not a scientific report. The main limitation is the small size of sample, lack of controls, and poorly characterized methodology. It cannot be called a clinical efficacy study because it lacks the basics of a clinical study. It does not have a statistical analysis either. I suggest the manuscript is revised and change to 'A pilot study of the use of <i>Picrorhiza kurroa</i> in patients with malaria'	
Minor REVISION comments	Pictures are of low quality, need to be improved. Reference links are inserted in the middle of the text; they should go to the end. Graphs lacks legends.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mônica da Silva Nunes
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Acre, Brazil